

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,685	BUDDE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig A. Renner	2652	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Paul T. Dietz, Attorney For Applicants.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 December 2005

**Time:** N/A

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None.*

Claims discussed:

*Includes claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 11, 26, 30 and 31.*

Prior art documents discussed:

*None.*

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Minor clarifying language was incorporated into the title and claims, supra, by examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)